Ion selective synthetic fluorescent chemosensors



General issues

- Cation sensing by chemosensors in vivo
- Fluorescent signal is much more sensitive than coloring (histochemical dyes)
- Small sized sensor can diffuse through membranes
- At present: calcium, magnesium, sodium, potassium, zinc, copper, iron, cadmium, mercury
- Sensor design: as discussed before
- Detection: translate binding event into a readable signal

Binding / dissociation constants

- $\mathbf{K}_{a} = \frac{\left[M_{x}L_{y}\right]}{\left[M\right]^{x}\left[L\right]^{y}}$
- Many factors can affect the ligand coordination sites (e.g. protonation etc.) \rightarrow apparent stability constant: $K_a^{app} = \frac{[ML]}{[M]}$
- Here M' and L' refers to all kinds of M and L species (free, protonated etc.)

Binding / dissociation constants

- The apparent K_a can be altered in cellular environment (eg. Fura-2 and Ca K_d = 145 nM in vitro and 371 nM in cells)
- The presence of complex equilibria makes it difficult to determine selectivity of one ion over another
- pM values refer to the –lg[M], where [M] is the free aqueous metal ion concentration under given conditions (e.g. pH, [M]_{tot}; [L]_{tot})

pM relevancy

- If pKa of the ligand's donor atom is higher than pH of solution, protonation can efficiently compete with binding
- EDTA has larger K_a for Zn than TPEN
- EDTA (pK_{a1} = 10.19) TPEN (pK_{a1} = 7.12)
- The apparent K_a is more affected for EDTA, pM is lower (free Zn is higher)
- To judge ion selectivities the use of pM is highly recommended



Signal transduction strategies

- Internal charge transfer (ICT) systems
 - Enable two-point (ratiometric) detection
- Photoinduced electron transfer (PET) systems
 - Signal evolution can be detected very sensitively
- Excimers
 - Mainly for hybridization studies
- Energy transfer systems
 - Hybridization
 - Distance measurements
 - Ca-sensing protein, protein-protein interactions

Photoinduced electron transfer systems

- Spacer separated receptor fluorophore (donoracceptor)
- Driving force of PET can be estimated: Rehm-Weller equation $\Delta G_{ET} = E(D^+/D) - E(A/A^-) - \Delta E_{00} + \omega_p$

Redox potentials of A, D, energy of the 0-0 transition and work related to coulombic stabilization

- Coordination changes donor potential therefore increases $\Delta {\rm G}_{\rm ET}$
- FE = $\phi_{\rm f}' / \phi_{\rm f}$

Prediction



Examples



Calcium selective indicators - modularity



Calcium selective indicators - modularity

TADLE 10.2	i notophysical Dat	a tor ca -kespon	sive ridorescent Ir	ndicators			
Compound	$\lambda_{abs} \ (nm)^a$	λ_{em} (nm)	$E_{00} (\mathrm{eV})^b$	$\Phi_{f}{}^{c}$	$\Phi_{\rm f}({\rm Ca}^{2+})^d$	fe ^e	log K ^f
9a	368 (3.92)	440	3.09	0.0014	0.023	16	6.6
9b	388 (4.43)	489	2.87	0.0011	0.1	92	6.5
9c	506 (5.17)	526	2.40	0.0051	0.183	36	6.4
5.0 6	553 (4.98)	576	2.20	0.03	0.10	3.4	6.0
9e	579 (5.00)	598	2.11	0.026	0.42	32	4.5
9f	766 (5.30)	782	1.60	0.05	0.12	2.4	0.0



Photophysical Data for Co2+ Do

Green: Autofluorescence of flavoproteines (in mitochondria)

Red: Rhod-2 (free calcium)

Co-localization

Sodium selective indicators



Find optimal D/A match

Tuning with different EWGs (11b)

TABLE 18.3	Effect of Structural	Modifications on th	e Spectral	Properties and	Fluorescence	Switching	Behavior of	Two Na ⁺ -
Selective Ind	icators Utilizing the Sa	ame Crown Ether as	Metal Ion	Receptor Moie	ety			

Compound	λ_{abs} (nm)	λ_{em} (nm)	$E_{00} ({\rm eV})^a$	$\Phi_{\mathrm{f}}{}^b$	$\Phi_{\rm f}({\rm Na^+})^{\rm c}$	fe^d	log K ^e
10a	492	525	2.44	0.008	0.041	5.3	0.42
10b	506	515	2.43	0.005	0.025	4.6	0.35
11a	499	508	2.46	0.64	0.72	1.13	n.d.
11b	497	507	2.47	0.0027	0.10	37	2.50

Copper selective indicators

Copper is a soft LA that does not alter the donor's potential very much – fine tuning is necessary

TABLE 18.4	Photophysical Data for Cu ⁺ -Responsive Fluorescent Indicators							
Compound	R _n	λ_{abs} (nm)	λ_{em} (nm)	Φ _f ^a	f_e^b	Za- E		
12a	620 (C <u>20</u> -10) []	371	476	0.20	3			
12b	3-F	366	461	0.19	7			
120	2,5-F ₂	355	451	0.12	14			
12d	2,3,5-F ₃	350	436	0.07	50			
120	2.3.5.6-F ₄	330	420	0.024				
12f	2,3,4,5,6-F ₅	323	423	0.0063	-			

Internal charge transfer (ICT) sensors

- Receptor and signaling units are integrated
- Guest induces shifted emission maximum
- Ratiometric sensing



Calcium ICT sensors



Abs and em are shifted

EM shift is much weaker Due to dumpening of Ca

			Free indicator			Metal-Bound Indicator		
Compound	Analyte	λ_{abs} (nm)	λ _{em} (nm)	Stokes Shift (cm ⁻¹)	λ_{abs} (nm)	λ _{em} (nm)	Stokes Shift (cm ⁻¹)	
19	Ca^{2+}	363	512	8020	335	505	10,050	
0	Ca^{2+}	473	670	6220	436	655	7670	
	Ca ²	464	533	2790	401	529	6030	
	Zn^2	404	484	4090	431	505	3400	
	Zn ²	513	543	1080	524	558	1160	
	Zn ²	338	441	6910	362	497	7500	

Fura-2 in cells



 ΔCa^{2+} 255 0

0 100 200 300 μm

Zinc ICT sensors



Compound			Free indicator			Metal-Bound Indicator			
	Analyte	λ_{abs} (nm)	$\lambda_{\rm em}$ (nm)	Stokes Shift (cm ⁻¹)	λ_{abs} (nm)	λ _{em} (nm)	Stokes Shift (cm ⁻¹)		
19	Ca ²⁺	363	512	8020	335	505	10,050		
0	Ca^{2+}	473	670	6220	436	655	7670		
	Ca^2	464	533	2790	401	529	6030		
	Zn^2	404	484	4090	431	505	3400		
	Zn^2	513	543	1080	524	558	1160		
	Zn ²	338	441	6910	362	497	7500		

Zn coordinates to A → red shifted emission

(Zn in neurobiology)

Zinc ICT sensors



Zinc ICT sensors



Excimer-based aptamer sensors



Aptamer: A DNA strand that recognizes an analyte (cocaine)

Excimer sensors



Excimer sensors



This picture came from http://www.probes.com/handbook/boxes/0432.html

FRET sensors



This picture came from http://www.probes.com/handbook/boxes/0432.html

FRET sensors – base pair separation

